

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,175	09/12/2003	Clarence E. Thomas	068062.0168 7513	
31625	7590 10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			LEE, HWA S	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/661,175	THOMAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Hwa S. Lee	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

o

Application/Control Number: 10/661,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite "replacing" and it is unclear how the reference mirror is replacing the reference object when there is no reference object to be replaced in the first place.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (US 2002/0145773).

For claims 1 and 8, Tanaka et al (Tanaka hereinafter) show a hologram recording apparatus and method comprising:

an illumination lens operable to focus a reference beam;

a beam splitter (13) optically coupled to the illumination lens by the reference beam; and a reference mirror (45) located at a waist of the reference beam.

Although Tanaka may not expressly state the functional use of the elements, such as the use of word "operable", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

With regards to the limitation "such that the reference mirror replaces a reference objective on a reference arm," that limitation does not further define the structure of the holography system since the claim does not recite a reference object that is in the system thus there is no reference object being replaced. In addition the <u>intention</u> of using a reference mirror to replace a reference objective does not further define the <u>structure</u> of the system. With regards to the method step of replacing in claim 8, if the reference objective is not there in the first place, how can it replace it?

With regards to claim 2, Tanaka only shows a schematic drawing of a beamsplitter and does not expressly state to use a cube beam splitter. Official Notice is taken that cube beam splitters are well known in the art and at the time of the invention one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a cube beam splitter since a cube beam splitter is notoriously well known for splitting beams.

With regards to claim 3, Tanaka shows a ¼ wave plate (paragraph [0101].

With regards to claim 5, Tanaka shows the reference mirror comprises a flat mirror (45).

With regards to claims 6 and 11, Tanaka shows the reference mirror operable to maintain optical symmetry of a reference arm and a target arm.

With regards to claims 7 and 10, Tanaka shows the reference mirror operable to form a first wavefront substantially similar to a second wavefront formed by the reference objective. (paragraph [0059])

With regards to claim 9, Tanaka shows the reference mirror operable to replace a reference objective.

3. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Zgonik (US 6,781,725).

Zgonik shows confocal holographic optical storage having reference mirror at the waist of the reference beam wherein the laser beam (an thus the reference beam too) is a Gaussian beam (column 4, lines 36+). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a laser source emitting a Gaussian beam for the properties such as least dilation by refraction.

### Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that "replacing a reference object", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed

the first place, it is unclear how can it replace it.

invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. limitation does not further define the structure of the holography system since the claim does not recite a reference object that is in the system thus there is no reference object being replaced. With regards to the method step of replacing in claim 8, if the reference objective is not there in

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Hwa S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2419. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fr.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,175 Page 6

Art Unit: 2877

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Hwa Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877